arXiv:1412.0785v1 [math.AP] 2 Dec 2014

# Location and size estimation of small rigid bodies using elastic far-fields

Fadhel Al-Musallam * Durga Prasad Challa† Mourad Sini *‡

December 3, 2014

**Abstract**

We are concerned with the linearized, isotropic and homogeneous elastic scattering problem by (possibly many) small rigid obstacles of arbitrary Lipschitz regular shapes in 3D. Based on the Foldy-Lax approximation, valid under a sufficient condition on the number of the obstacles, the size and the minimum distance between them, we show that any of the two body waves, namely the pressure waves P or the shear waves S, is enough for solving the inverse problem of detecting these scatterers and estimating their sizes. Further, it is also shown that the shear-horizontal part SH or the shear vertical part SV of the shear waves S are also enough for the location detection and the size estimation. Under some extra assumption on the scatterers, as the convexity assumption, we derive finer size estimates as the radius of the largest ball contained in each scatterer and the one of the smallest ball containing it. The two estimates measure, respectively, the thickness and length of each obstacle.

**Keywords**: Elastic wave scattering, Small-scatterers, Foldy-Lax approximation, Capacitance, MUSIC algorithm.

## 1 Introduction and statement of the results

Let $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_M$ be $M$ open, bounded and simply connected sets in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with Lipschitz boundaries, containing the origin. We assume that their sizes and Lipschitz constants are uniformly bounded. We set $D_m := \epsilon B_m + z_m$ to be the small bodies characterized by the parameter $\epsilon > 0$ and the locations $z_m \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $m = 1, \ldots, M$.

Assume that the Lamé coefficients $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are constants satisfying $\mu > 0$ and $3\lambda + 2\mu > 0$. Let $U^i$ be a solution of the Navier equation $(\Delta^e + \omega^2)U^i = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\Delta^e := (\mu\Delta + (\lambda + \mu)\nabla \operatorname{div})$. We denote by $U^s$ the elastic field scattered by the $M$ small bodies $D_m \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ due to the incident field $U^i$. We restrict ourselves to the scattering by rigid bodies. Hence the total field $U^t := U^i + U^s$ satisfies the following exterior Dirichlet problem of the elastic waves

$$(\Delta^e + \omega^2)U^t = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \left( \bigcup_{m=1}^{M} \bar{D}_m \right), \tag{1.1}$$

$$U^t|_{\partial D_m} = 0,\ 1 \leq m \leq M \tag{1.2}$$

with the Kupradze radiation conditions (K.R.C)

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\frac{\partial U_p}{\partial |x|} - i\kappa_{p^\omega} U_p\right) = 0, \text{ and } \lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\frac{\partial U_s}{\partial |x|} - i\kappa_{s^\omega} U_s\right) = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

*Department of Mathematics, Kuwait University, P.O. Box 13060, Safat, Kuwait. (Email: musallam@sci.kuniv.edu.kw).

†Department of mathematics, Inha university, Incheon 402-751, S. Korea. (Email: durga.challa@inha.ac.kr).

‡RICAM, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Altenbergerstrasse 69, A-4040, Linz, Austria. (Email: mourad.sini@oeaw.ac.at, msini@sci.kuniv.edu.kw).

where the two limits are uniform in all the directions $\hat{x} := \frac{x}{|x|} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{S}^2$ is the unit sphere. Also, we denote $U_p := -\kappa_{p^\omega}^{-2}\nabla(\nabla \cdot U^s)$ to be the longitudinal (or the pressure or P) part of the field $u$ and $U_s := \kappa_{s^\omega}^{-2}\nabla \times (\nabla \times U^s)$ to be the transversal (or the shear or S) part of the field $U^s$ corresponding to the Helmholtz decomposition $U^s = U_p + U_s$. The constants $\kappa_{p^\omega} := \frac{\omega}{c_p}$ and $\kappa_{s^\omega} := \frac{\omega}{c_s}$ are known as the longitudinal and transversal wavenumbers, $c_p := \sqrt{\lambda + 2\mu}$ and $c_s := \sqrt{\mu}$ are the corresponding phase velocities, respectively and $\omega$ is the frequency.

The scattering problem (1.1-1.3) is well posed in the Hölder or Sobolev spaces, see [3,10,13] for instance, and the scattered field $U^s$ has the following asymptotic expansion:

$$U^s(x) := \frac{e^{i\kappa_{p^\omega}|x|}}{|x|}U_p^\infty(\hat{x}) + \frac{e^{i\kappa_{s^\omega}|x|}}{|x|}U_s^\infty(\hat{x}) + O(\frac{1}{|x|^2}),\ |x| \to \infty \tag{1.4}$$

uniformly in all directions $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. The longitudinal part of the far-field, i.e. $U_p^\infty(\hat{x})$ is normal to $\mathbb{S}^2$ while the transversal part $U_s^\infty(\hat{x})$ is tangential to $\mathbb{S}^2$. As usual in scattering problems we use plane incident waves in this work. For the Lamé system, the full plane incident wave is of the form $U^i(x,\theta) := \alpha\theta\, e^{i\kappa_{p^\omega}\theta\cdot x} + \beta\theta^\perp\, e^{i\kappa_{s^\omega}\theta\cdot x}$, where $\theta^\perp$ is any direction in $\mathbb{S}^2$ perpendicular to the incident direction $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^2$, $\alpha, \beta$ are arbitrary constants. In particular, the pressure and shear incident waves are given as follows;

$$U^{i,p}(x,\theta) := \theta e^{i\kappa_{p^\omega}\theta\cdot x} \text{ and } U^{i,s}(x,\theta) := \theta^\perp e^{i\kappa_{s^\omega}\theta\cdot x}. \tag{1.5}$$

Pressure incident waves propagate in the direction of $\theta$, whereas shear incident waves propagate in the direction of $\theta^\perp$. In the two dimensional case, the shear waves have only one direction. But in the three dimensional case, they have two orthogonal components called vertical and horizontal shear directions denoted by $\theta^{\perp_v}$ and $\theta^{\perp_h}$ respectively. So, $\theta^\perp = \theta^{\underline{\perp}}/|\theta^{\underline{\perp}}|$ with $\theta^{\underline{\perp}} := \alpha\theta^{\perp_h} + \beta\theta^{\perp_v}$ for arbitrary constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$. To give the explicit forms of $\theta^{\perp_h}$ and $\theta^{\perp_v}$, we recall the Euclidean basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ where $e_1 := (1,0,0)^T, e_2 := (0,1,0)^T$ and $e_3 := (0,0,1)^T$, write $\theta := (\theta_x, \theta_y, \theta_z)^T$ and set $r^2 := \theta_x^2 + \theta_y^2$. Let $\mathcal{R}_3 = \mathcal{R}_3(\theta)$ be the rotation map transforming $\theta$ to $e_3$. Then in the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, $\mathcal{R}_3$ is given by the matrix

$$\mathcal{R}_3 = \frac{1}{r^2}\begin{bmatrix} \theta_2^2 + \theta_1^2\theta_z & -\theta_x\theta_y(1-\theta_z) & -\theta_x r^2 \\ -\theta_x\theta_y(1-\theta_z) & \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2\theta_z & -\theta_y r^2 \\ \theta_x r^2 & \theta_y r^2 & \theta_z r^2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1.6}$$

It satisfies $\mathcal{R}_3^T\mathcal{R}_3 = I$ and $\mathcal{R}_3\theta = e_3$. Correspondingly, we write $\theta^{\perp_h} := \mathcal{R}_3^T e_1$ and $\theta^{\perp_v} := \mathcal{R}_3^T e_2$. These two directions represent the horizontal and the vertical directions of the shear wave and they are given by

$$\theta^{\perp_h} = \frac{1}{r^2}(\theta_y^2 + \theta_x^2\theta_z, \theta_x\theta_y(\theta_z - 1), -r^2\theta_x)^\top,\quad \theta^{\perp_v} = \frac{1}{r^2}(\theta_x\theta_y(\theta_z - 1), \theta_x^2 + \theta_y^2\theta_z, -r^2\theta_y)^\top. \tag{1.7}$$

The functions $U_p^\infty(\hat{x},\theta) := U_p^\infty(\hat{x})$ and $U_s^\infty(\hat{x},\theta) := U_s^\infty(\hat{x})$ for $(\hat{x},\theta) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ are called the P-part and the S-part of the far-field pattern respectively.

**Definition 1.1.** *We define*

1. $a := \max\limits_{1\le m\le M} diam(D_m)\ \ \big[= \epsilon \max\limits_{1\le m\le M} diam(B_m)\big]$,
2. $d := \min\limits_{\substack{m\neq j \\ 1\le m,j\le M}} d_{mj}$, *where* $d_{mj} := dist(D_m, D_j)$.
3. $\omega_{\max}$ *as the upper bound of the used frequencies, i.e.* $\omega \in [0, \omega_{\max}]$.
4. $\Omega$ *to be a bounded domain in* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *containing the small bodies* $D_m$, $m = 1, \dots, M$.

Our goal in this work is to justify the following results.

**Theorem 1.2.** *The matrix $(U_p^\infty(\hat{x}_j,\theta_l))_{j,l=1}^N$ (or $(U_s^\infty(\hat{x}_j,\theta_l))_{j,l=1}^N$), for $N$ large enough, corresponding to one of the incident waves in (1.5) is enough to localize the centers $z_j$ and estimate the sizes of the obstacles $D_j$, $j=1,...,M$.*

*Further, the matrix $(U_{SV}^\infty(\hat{x}_j,\theta_l))_{j,l=1}^N$ (or $(U_{SH}^\infty(\hat{x}_j,\theta_l))_{j,l=1}^N$) is also enough to localize the obstacles and estimate their sizes. Here $U_{SV}^\infty(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $U_{SH}^\infty(\cdot,\cdot)$ are respectively the Shear-Horizontal and the Shear-Vertical parts of the shear parts of the far-fields.*

The approach we use to justify these results is based on two steps.

1. In the first step, we derive the asymptotic expansion of the far-fields in terms of the three parameters modeling the collection of scatterers, namely $M$, $a$ and $d$. This is sometimes called the Foldy-Lax approximation.

2. We use the dominant term of this approximation coupled with the so-called MUSIC algorithm to detect the locations of the obstacles.

This approach is known for a decade, see [5] for instance. Our contribution to this approach is twofold corresponding to the two steps mentioned above. Regarding the first step, we provide the asymptotic expansion in terms of the three parameters $M$, $a$ and $d$, while in the previous literature the two parameters $M$ and $d$ are assumed to be fixed, [3,4]. This expansion is justified for the Lamé model, under consideration here, in our previous work [7]. Regarding the second step, which is the object of this paper, we apply the MUSIC algorithm, see [5, 9], to the P-parts (respectively the S-parts) of the elastic far-fields to localize the centers of the scatterers. Further, we extract the elastic capacitances of the obstacles from these data. Finally, from these capacitances we derive lower and upper estimates of the scaled perimeter of the scatterers, see Theorem 3.5. If in addition the obstacles are convex, then we derive an upper estimate of the largest ball contained in each obstacle and a lower bound of the smallest ball containing it, see Theorem 3.6. The two estimates measure, respectively, the thickness and length of each obstacle. It seems to us that these two estimates are new in the literature.

Let us also emphasize that our results mean that any of the two body waves (pressure or shear waves) is enough to localize and estimate the sizes of the scatterers.

The rest of paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall, from [7], the Foldy-Lax approximation of the elastic fields. In section 3, we use these approximations to justify Theorem 1.2.

# 2 Forward Problem

## 2.1 The asymptotic expansion of the far-fields

The forward problem is to compute the P-part, $U_p^\infty(\hat{x},\theta)$, and the S-part, $U_s^\infty(\hat{x},\theta)$, of the far-field pattern associated with the Lamé system (1.1-1.3) for various incident and the observational directions. The main result is the following theorem, see [7, Theorem 1.2], which justifies the Foldy-Lax approximation, in order to represent the scattering by small scatterers taking into account the three parameters $M$, $a$ and $d$.

**Theorem 2.1.** *There exist two positive constants $a_0$ and $c_0$ depending only on the size of $\Omega$, the Lipschitz character of $B_m, m=1,\dots,M$, $d_{\max}$ and $\omega_{\max}$ such that if*

$$a \le a_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{M-1}\frac{a}{d} \le c_0 \tag{2.1}$$

*then the P-part, $U_p^\infty(\hat{x},\theta)$, and the S-part, $U_s^\infty(\hat{x},\theta)$, of the far-field pattern have the following asymptotic expressions*

$$U_p^\infty(\hat{x},\theta) \;=\; \frac{1}{4\pi\, c_p^2}(\hat{x}\otimes\hat{x})\left[\sum_{m=1}^{M} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\hat{x}\cdot z_m}Q_m \;+O\left(Ma^2+M(M-1)\frac{a^3}{d^2}+M(M-1)^2\frac{a^4}{d^3}\right)\right], \tag{2.2}$$

$$U_s^\infty(\hat{x},\theta) \quad = \quad \frac{1}{4\pi\, c_s^2}(I-\hat{x}\otimes\hat{x})\left[\sum_{m=1}^{M} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\hat{x}\cdot z_m}Q_m \; + O\left(Ma^2 + M(M-1)\frac{a^3}{d^2} + M(M-1)^2\frac{a^4}{d^3}\right)\right] \quad (2.3)$$

*uniformly in $\hat{x}$ and $\theta$ in $\mathbb{S}^2$. The constant appearing in the estimate $O(.)$ depends only on the size of $\Omega$, the Lipschitz character of the reference bodies, $a_0$, $c_0$ and $\omega_{max}$. The vector coefficients $Q_m$, $m=1,...,M$, are the solutions of the following linear algebraic system*

$$C_m^{-1}Q_m + \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq m}}^{M} \Gamma^\omega(z_m,z_j)Q_j \quad = \quad -U^i(z_m,\theta), \quad (2.4)$$

*for $m=1,...,M$, with $\Gamma^\omega$ denoting the Kupradze matrix of the fundamental solution to the Navier equation with frequency $\omega$, $C_m := \int_{\partial D_m}\sigma_m(s)ds$ and $\sigma_m$ is the solution matrix of the integral equation of the first kind*

$$\int_{\partial D_m}\Gamma^0(s_m,s)\sigma_m(s)ds \quad = \quad \boldsymbol{I},\ \mathrm{s_m}\in\partial\mathrm{D_m}, \quad (2.5)$$

*with $\boldsymbol{I}$ the identity matrix of order 3. The algebraic system* (2.4) *is invertible under the condition:*

$$\frac{a}{d} \quad \leq \quad c_1 t^{-1} \quad (2.6)$$

*with*

$$t := \left[\frac{1}{c_p^2} - 2diam(\Omega)\frac{\omega}{c_s^3}\left(\frac{1-\left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{s^\omega}diam(\Omega)\right)^{N_\Omega}}{1-\left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{s^\omega}diam(\Omega)\right)} + \frac{1}{2^{N_\Omega-1}}\right) - diam(\Omega)\frac{\omega}{c_p^3}\left(\frac{1-\left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{p^\omega}diam(\Omega)\right)^{N_\Omega}}{1-\left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{p^\omega}diam(\Omega)\right)} + \frac{1}{2^{N_\Omega-1}}\right)\right],$$

*which is assumed to be positive and $N_\Omega := [2diam(\Omega)\max\{\kappa_{s^\omega},\kappa_{p^\omega}\}e^2]$, where $[\cdot]$ denotes the integral part and $\ln e = 1$. The constant $c_1$ depends only on the Lipschitz character of the reference bodies $B_m$, $m=1,\ldots,M$.*

We call the system (2.4) the elastic Foldy-Lax algebraic system. The matrix $C_m := \int_{\partial D_m}\sigma_m(s)ds$, where $\sigma_m$ solves (2.5), is called the elastic capacitance of the set $D_m$. One of the interests of the expansions (2.2) and (2.3) is that we can reduce the computation of the elastic fields due to small obstacles to solving an algebraic system (i.e. (2.4)) and inverting a first kind integral equation (i.e. (2.5)). Another goal in deriving the expansion in terms of the three parameters is the quantification of the equivalent effective medium, without homogeneization (i.e. with no periodicity assumption on the distribution of the scatterers), see [1] for the acoustic model.

## 2.2 The fundamental solution

The Kupradze matrix $\Gamma^\omega = (\Gamma^\omega_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3$ of the fundamental solution to the Navier equation is given by

$$\Gamma^\omega(x,y) = \frac{1}{\mu}\Phi_{\kappa_{s^\omega}}(x,y)\mathbf{I} + \frac{1}{\omega^2}\nabla_{\mathrm{x}}\nabla_{\mathrm{x}}^\top[\Phi_{\kappa_{s^\omega}}(\mathrm{x},\mathrm{y}) - \Phi_{\kappa_{\mathrm{p}^\omega}}(\mathrm{x},\mathrm{y})], \quad (2.7)$$

where $\Phi_\kappa(x,y) = \frac{\exp(i\kappa|x-y|)}{4\pi|x-y|}$ denotes the free space fundamental solution of the Helmholtz equation $(\Delta+\kappa^2)\,u = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The asymptotic behavior of Kupradze tensor at infinity is given as follows

$$\Gamma^\omega(x,y) = \frac{1}{4\pi\, c_p^2}\hat{x}\otimes\hat{x}\frac{e^{i\kappa_{p^\omega}|x|}}{|x|}e^{-i\kappa_{p^\omega}\hat{x}\cdot y} + \frac{1}{4\pi\, c_s^2}(I-\hat{x}\otimes\hat{x})\frac{e^{i\kappa_{s^\omega}|x|}}{|x|}e^{-i\kappa_{s^\omega}\hat{x}\cdot y} + O(|x|^{-2}) \quad (2.8)$$

with $\hat{x} = \frac{x}{|x|}\in\mathbb{S}^2$, see [10] for instance.

# 3 Inverse problem

## 3.1 Scalar far-field patterns

We define the scalar P-part, $U_p^\infty(\hat{x},\theta)$, and the scalar S-part, $U_s^\infty(\hat{x},\theta)$, of the far-field pattern of the problem (1.1-1.3) respectively as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{U}_p^\infty(\hat{x},\theta) &:= 4\pi\, c_p^2 \left(\hat{x}\cdot U_p^\infty(\hat{x},\theta)\right) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \hat{x} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\hat{x}\cdot z_m} Q_m + O\left(Ma^2 + M(M-1)\frac{a^3}{d^2} + M(M-1)^2\frac{a^4}{d^3}\right), \qquad (3.1) \\ \mathrm{U}_s^\infty(\hat{x},\theta) &:= 4\pi\, c_s^2 \left(\hat{x}^\perp\cdot U_s^\infty(\hat{x},\theta)\right) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \hat{x}^\perp e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\hat{x}\cdot z_m} Q_m + O\left(Ma^2 + M(M-1)\frac{a^3}{d^2} + M(M-1)^2\frac{a^4}{d^3}\right). \qquad (3.2) \end{aligned}$$

From (3.1) and (3.2), we can write the scalar P and the scalar S parts of the far-field pattern as

$$\mathrm{U}_p^\infty(\hat{x},\theta) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \hat{x} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\hat{x}\cdot z_m} Q_m \qquad (3.3)$$

$$\mathrm{U}_s^\infty(\hat{x},\theta) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \hat{x}^\perp e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\hat{x}\cdot z_m} Q_m \qquad (3.4)$$

with the error of order $O\left(Ma^2 + M(M-1)\frac{a^3}{d^2} + M(M-1)^2\frac{a^4}{d^3}\right)$ and $Q_m$ can be obtained from the linear algebraic system (2.4).

## 3.2 The elastic Foldy-Lax algebraic system

We can rewrite the algebraic system (2.4),

$$C_m^{-1} Q_m = -U^i(z_m) - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq m}}^{M} \Gamma^\omega(z_m, z_j) C_j (C_j^{-1} Q_j), \qquad (3.5)$$

for all $m = 1, 2, \ldots, M$. It can be written in a compact form as

$$\mathbf{B} Q = U^I, \qquad (3.6)$$

where $Q, U^I \in \mathbb{C}^{3M\times 1}$ and $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{3M\times 3M}$ are defined as

$$\mathbf{B} := \begin{pmatrix} -C_1^{-1} & -\Gamma^\omega(z_1,z_2) & -\Gamma^\omega(z_1,z_3) & \cdots & -\Gamma^\omega(z_1,z_M) \\ -\Gamma^\omega(z_2,z_1) & -C_2^{-1} & -\Gamma^\omega(z_2,z_3) & \cdots & -\Gamma^\omega(z_2,z_M) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ -\Gamma^\omega(z_M,z_1) & -\Gamma^\omega(z_M,z_2) & \cdots & -\Gamma^\omega(z_M,z_{M-1}) & -C_M^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.7)$$

$$Q := \begin{pmatrix} Q_1^\top & Q_2^\top & \ldots & Q_M^\top \end{pmatrix}^\top \text{ and } U^I := \begin{pmatrix} U^i(z_1)^\top & U^i(z_2)^\top & \ldots & U^i(z_M)^\top \end{pmatrix}^\top.$$

The above linear algebraic system is solvable for the 3D vectors $Q_j$, $1 \le j \le M$, when the matrix $\mathbf{B}$ is invertible. The invertibility of $\mathbf{B}$ is discussed in [7, Corollary 4.3].

Let us denote the inverse of $\mathbf{B}$ by $\mathcal{B}$ and the corresponding $3\times 3$ blocks of $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathcal{B}_{mj}$, $m, j = 1, \ldots, M$. Then we can rewrite (3.3) and (3.4), with the same error, as follows

$$\mathrm{U}_p^\infty(\hat{x},\theta) = \sum_{m=1}^{M}\sum_{j=1}^{M} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\hat{x}\cdot z_m} \hat{x}^\top \mathcal{B}_{mj} U^i(z_j,\theta) \qquad (3.8)$$

$$\mathrm{U}_s^\infty(\hat{x},\theta) \;=\; \sum_{m=1}^{M}\sum_{j=1}^{M} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\hat{x}\cdot z_m}(\hat{x}^\perp)^\top \mathcal{B}_{mj} U^i(z_j,\theta) \tag{3.9}$$

for a given incident direction $\theta$ and observation direction $\hat{x}$. From (3.8) and (3.9), we can get the scalar P and the scalar S parts of the far-field patterns corresponding to plane incident P-wave $U^{i,p}(x,\theta)$ and S-wave $U^{i,s}(x,\theta)$, that we denote respectively by $\mathrm{U}_p^{\infty,p}(\hat{x},\theta)$, $\mathrm{U}_s^{\infty,p}(\hat{x},\theta)$, $\mathrm{U}_p^{\infty,s}(\hat{x},\theta)$, $\mathrm{U}_s^{\infty,s}(\hat{x},\theta)$ as below

$$\mathrm{U}_p^{\infty,p}(\hat{x},\theta) \;=\; \sum_{m=1}^{M}\sum_{j=1}^{M} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\hat{x}\cdot z_m}\hat{x}^\top \mathcal{B}_{mj}\theta\, e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta\cdot z_j}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\mathrm{U}_s^{\infty,p}(\hat{x},\theta) \;=\; \sum_{m=1}^{M}\sum_{j=1}^{M} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\hat{x}\cdot z_m}(\hat{x}^\perp)^\top \mathcal{B}_{mj}\theta\, e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta\cdot z_j}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\mathrm{U}_p^{\infty,s}(\hat{x},\theta) \;=\; \sum_{m=1}^{M}\sum_{j=1}^{M} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\hat{x}\cdot z_m}\hat{x}^\top \mathcal{B}_{mj}\theta^\perp\, e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta\cdot z_j}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\mathrm{U}_s^{\infty,s}(\hat{x},\theta) \;=\; \sum_{m=1}^{M}\sum_{j=1}^{M} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\hat{x}\cdot z_m}(\hat{x}^\perp)^\top \mathcal{B}_{mj}\theta^\perp\, e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta\cdot z_j}. \tag{3.13}$$

All the far-field patterns (3.10-3.13) are valid with the same error which is equal to the error in (3.3-3.4). Now onwards, let $U^\infty(\hat{x},\theta)$ represents any one of the scattered fields mentioned above.

## 3.3 Localization of $D_m$'s via the MUSIC algorithm

The MUSIC algorithm is a method to determine the locations $z_m, m = 1,2,\ldots,M$, of the scatterers $D_m, m = 1,2,\ldots,M$ from the measured far-field pattern $U^\infty(\hat{x},\theta)$ for a finite set of incidence and observation directions, i.e. $\hat{x},\theta \in \{\theta_j, j = 1,\ldots,N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$. We refer the reader to the monograph [11] for more information about this algorithm. We follow the way in [9] which is based on the presentation in [11].

### 3.3.1 The factorization of the response matrix

We assume that the number of scatterers is not larger than the number of incident and observation directions, precisely $N \geq 3M$. We define the response matrix $F \in \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$ by

$$F_{jl} := U^\infty(\theta_j,\theta_l). \tag{3.14}$$

The P-part and the S-part of the response matrix $F$ by $F_p$ and $F_s$ respectively. From (3.8-3.9) and (3.14), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
(F_p)_{jl} \;&:=\; U_p^\infty(\theta_j,\theta_l) \;=\; \sum_{m=1}^{M}\sum_{j=1}^{M} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_j\cdot z_m}\theta_j^\top \mathcal{B}_{mj} U^i(z_j,\theta_l)\\
&=\Big[\theta_j^\top e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_j\cdot z_1},\, \theta_j^\top e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_j\cdot z_2},\,\cdots,\,\theta_j^\top e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_j\cdot z_M}\Big]\mathcal{B}\big[(U^i(z_j,\theta_l))^\top,\,(U^i(z_2,\theta_l))^\top,\,\cdots,\,(U^i(z_m,\theta_l))^\top\big]^\top,\\
(F_s)_{jl} \;&:=\; U_s^\infty(\theta_j,\theta_l) \;=\; \sum_{m=1}^{M}\sum_{j=1}^{M} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_j\cdot z_m}(\theta_j^\perp)^\top \mathcal{B}_{mj} U^i(z_j,\theta_l)\\
&=\Big[(\theta_j^\perp)^\top e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_j\cdot z_1},\,(\theta_j^\perp)^\top e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_j\cdot z_2},\,\cdots,\,(\theta_j^\perp) e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_j\cdot z_M}\Big]\mathcal{B}\big[(U^i(z_j,\theta_l))^\top,\,(U^i(z_2,\theta_l))^\top,\,\cdots,\,(U^i(z_m,\theta_l))^\top\big]^\top.
\end{aligned}$$

for all $j,l = 1,\ldots,N$. In PP, PS, SS and SP scatterings, denote the response matrix $F$ by $F_p^p$, $F_s^p$, $F_s^s$ and $F_p^s$ respectively and these can be factorized as

$$F_p^p = H^{p*}\mathcal{B}H^p,\; F_s^p = H^{s*}\mathcal{B}H^p,\; F_s^s = H^{s*}\mathcal{B}H^s,\;\text{ and } F_p^s = H^{p*}\mathcal{B}H^s. \tag{3.15}$$

Here, the matrices $H^p \in \mathbb{C}^{3M\times N}$ and $H^s \in \mathbb{C}^{3M\times N}$ are defined as,

$$H^p := \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_1\cdot z_1} & \theta_2 e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_2\cdot z_1} & \dots & \theta_N e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_N\cdot z_1} \\ \theta_1 e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_1\cdot z_2} & \theta_2 e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_2\cdot z_2} & \dots & \theta_N e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_N\cdot z_2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \theta_1 e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_1\cdot z_M} & \theta_2 e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_2\cdot z_M} & \dots & \theta_N e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_N\cdot z_M} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$H^s := \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1^\perp e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_1\cdot z_1} & \theta_2^\perp e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_2\cdot z_1} & \dots & \theta_N^\perp e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_N\cdot z_1} \\ \theta_1^\perp e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_1\cdot z_2} & \theta_2^\perp e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_2\cdot z_2} & \dots & \theta_N^\perp e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_N\cdot z_2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \theta_1^\perp e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_1\cdot z_M} & \theta_2^\perp e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_2\cdot z_M} & \dots & \theta_N^\perp e^{i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_N\cdot z_M} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In order to determine the locations $z_m$, we consider a 3D-grid of sampling points $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$ in a region containing the scatterers $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_M$. For each point $z$, we define the vectors $\phi^j_{z,p}$ and $\phi^j_{z,s}$ in $\mathbb{C}^N$ by

$$\phi^j_{z,p} := \left((\theta_1\cdot e_j)e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_1\cdot z}, (\theta_2\cdot e_j)e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_2\cdot z}, \dots, (\theta_N\cdot e_j)e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_p}\theta_N\cdot z}\right)^T, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\phi^j_{z,s} := \left((\theta_1^\perp\cdot e_j)e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_1\cdot z}, (\theta_2^\perp\cdot e_j)e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_2\cdot z}, \dots, (\theta_N^\perp\cdot e_j)e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c_s}\theta_N\cdot z}\right)^T, \forall j = 1,2,3. \tag{3.17}$$

### 3.3.2 MUSIC characterization of the response matrix

Recall that MUSIC is essentially based on characterizing the range of the response matrix (signal space), forming projections onto its null (noise) spaces, and computing its singular value decomposition. In other words, the MUSIC algorithm is based on the property that the test vector $\phi^j_{z,r}$ is in the range $\mathcal{R}(F_r)$ of $F_r$ if and only if $z$ is at one of locations of the scatterers, see [9]. Here, $F_r := F^p_r$ or $F_p := F^s_r$ and $r \in \{p, s\}$.

It can be proved based on the non-singularity of the scattering matrix $\mathcal{B}$ in the factorizations (3.15) of $F^{r_1}_{r_2}$, $r_1, r_2 \in \{p, s\}$. Due to this, the standard linear algebraic argument yields that, if $N \geq 3M$ and the if the matrix $H^r$ has maximal rank $3M$, then the ranges $\mathcal{R}(H^{r^*})$ and $\mathcal{R}(F_r)$ coincide.

For sufficiently large number $N$ of incident and the observational directions by following the same lines as in [4, 9, 11], the maximal rank property of $H$ can be justified. In this case MUSIC algorithm is applicable for our response matrices $F^p_p, F^p_s, F^s_p$ and $F^s_s$.

From the above discussion, MUSIC characterization of the locations of the small scatterers in elastic exterior Drichlet problem can be written as the following

**Theorem 3.1.** *For $N \geq 3M$ sufficiently large, we have*

$$z \in \{z_1, ..., z_M\} \iff \phi^j_{z,t} \in \mathcal{R}(H^{t^*}), \text{ for some } j = 1,2,3 \text{ and for all } t \in \{p, s\}. \tag{3.18}$$

*Furthermore, the ranges of $H^{t^*}$ and $F^r_t$ coincide and thus*

$$z \in \{z_1, ..., z_M\} \Longleftrightarrow \phi^j_{z,t} \in \mathcal{R}(F^r_t) \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}_t\phi^j_{z,t} = 0, \text{ for some } j = 1,2,3 \text{ and for all } r, t \in \{p, s\} \tag{3.19}$$

*where $\mathcal{P}_t : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathcal{R}(F^r_t)^\perp = \mathcal{N}(F^{r*}_t)$ is the orthogonal projection onto the null space $\mathcal{N}(F^{r*}_t)$ of $F^{r*}_t$.*

From Theorem 3.1, the MUSIC algorithm holds for the response matrices corresponding to the PP, PS, SS and SP scatterings. To make the best use of the singular value decomposition in SP and PS scatterings, we apply the MUSIC algorithm to $F^s_p F^{s*}_p$ (resp, $F^{s*}_p F^s_p$) and $F^{p*}_s F^p_s$ (resp, $F^p_s F^{p*}_s$) with the help of the test vectors $\phi^j_{z,p}$ (resp, $\phi^j_{z,s}$) respectively.

As we are dealing with the 3D case, while dealing with S incident wave or S-part of the far-field pattern, it is enough to use one of its horizontal ($S^h$) or vertical ($S^v$) parts. Hence, it is enough to study the far-field pattern of any of the PP, $PS^h$, $PS^v$, $S^hS^h$, $S^hS^v$, $S^vS^h$, $S^vS^v$, $S^hP$, $S^vP$ elastic scatterings to locate the

scatterers. In other words, in three dimensional case, instead of using the full incident wave and the full far-field pattern, it is enough to study one combination of pressure (P), horizontal shear ($S^h$) or vertical shear ($S^v$) parts of the elastic incident wave and a corresponding part of the elastic far-field patterns, see [9]. Indeed, define the vectors $\phi^j_{z,s^h}, \phi^j_{z,s^v} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and the matrices $H^{s^h}, H^{s^v} \in \mathbb{C}^{3M\times N}$ exactly as $\phi^j_{z,s}$ and $H^s$ replacing $\theta^\perp_i$ for $i = 1,\dots,N$ by $\theta^{\perp_h}_i$ and $\theta^{\perp_v}_i$ respectively, see (1.7). We denote the response matrices by $F^p_{s^h}$, $F^{s^h}_p$, $F^p_{s^v}$, $F^{s^v}_p$, $F^{s^h}_{s^h}$, $F^{s^h}_{s^v}$, $F^{s^v}_{s^h}$, and $F^{s^v}_{s^v}$ in the elastic $PS^h$, $S^hP$, $PS^v$, $S^vP$, $S^hS^h$, $S^hS^v$, $S^vS^h$, $S^vS^v$ scatterings respectively, then we can state the following theorem related to the MUSIC algorithm for sufficiently large number of incident and observation angles,

**Theorem 3.2.** *For $N \geq 3M$ sufficiently large, we have*

$$z \in \{y_1,\dots,y_M\} \iff \phi^j_{z,t} \in \mathcal{R}(H^{t^*}), \text{ for some } j = 1,2,3 \text{ and for all } t \in \{p, s^h, s^v\}. \tag{3.20}$$

*Furthermore, the ranges of $H^{t^*}$ and $F^r_t$ coincide and thus*

$$z \in \{y_1,\dots,y_M\} \Longleftrightarrow \phi^j_{z,t} \in \mathcal{R}(F^r_t) \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}_t\phi^j_{z,t} = 0, \text{ for some } j = 1,2,3 \text{ and for all } r,t \in \{p, s^h, s^v\} \tag{3.21}$$

*where $\mathcal{P}_t : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathcal{R}(F^r_t)^\perp = \mathcal{N}(F^{r*}_t)$ is the orthogonal projection onto the null space $\mathcal{N}(F^{r*}_t)$ of $F^{r*}_t$.*

The proof of the previous two theorems can be carried out in the same lines as in [9].

## 3.4 Estimating the sizes

### 3.4.1 Recovering the capacitances

Once we locate the scatterers from the given far-field patterns using the MUSIC algorithm, we can recover the capacitances $C_m$ of $D_m$ from the factorization $F^r_t = H^{t^*}\mathcal{B}H^r$ of $F^r_t \in \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$, $r,t \in \{p, s^h, s^v\}$. Indeed, we know that the matrix $H^t$ has maximal rank, see Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 of [9]. So, the matrix $H^tH^{t^*} \in \mathbb{C}^{3M\times 3M}$ is invertible. Let us denote its inverse by $I_{H^t}$. Once we locate the scatterers through finding the locations $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_M$ by using the MUSIC algorithm for the given far-field patterns, we can recover $I_{H^t}$ and hence the matrix $\mathcal{B} \in C^{3M\times 3M}$ given by $\mathcal{B} = I_{H^t}H^tF^r_tH^{r^*}I_{H^r}$, where $I_{H^t}H^t$ (resp, $H^{r*}I_{H^r}$) is the pseudo inverse of $H^{t^*}$ (resp, $H^r$). As we know the structure of $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{3M\times 3M}$, the inverse of $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{3M\times 3M}$, we can recover the capacitance matrices $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_M$ of the small scatterers $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_M$ from the diagonal blocks of $\mathbf{B}$, see (3.7). From these capacitances, we can estimate the size of the obstacles as follows.

### 3.4.2 Estimating the sizes of the obstacles from the capacitances

Let us first start with the following lemma which compares the elastic and the acoustic capacitances [1], see [7, 15].

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let $\lambda^{min}_{eig_m}$ and $\lambda^{max}_{eig_m}$ be the minimal and maximal eigenvalues of the elastic capacitance matrices $C_m$, for $m = 1,2,\dots,M$. Denote by $C^a_m$ the capacitance of each scatterer in the acoustic case, then we have the following estimate;*

$$\mu\, C^a_m \;\leq\; \lambda^{min}_{eig_m} \;\leq\; \lambda^{max}_{eig_m} \;\leq\; (\lambda + 2\mu)\, C^a_m, \quad \text{for} \quad m = 1,2,\dots,M. \tag{3.22}$$

Now, let us derive lower and upper bounds of the sizes of the obstacles in terms of the acoustic capacitances.

Assume that $D_j$'s are balls of radius $\rho_j$, and center 0 for simplicity, then we know that $\int_{\{y:|y|=\rho_j\}} \frac{dS_y}{|x-y|} = 4\pi\rho_j$, for $|x| = \rho_j$, as observed in [14, formula (5.12)]. Hence $\sigma_j(s) = \rho_j^{-1}$ and then $C^a_j = \int_{\partial D_j} \rho_j^{-1} ds = 2\pi\rho_j$

[1] Recall that, for $m = 1,\dots,M$, $C^a_m := \int_{\partial D_m} \sigma_m(s)ds$ and $\sigma_m$ is the solution of the integral equation of the first kind $\int_{\partial D_m} \frac{\sigma_m(s)}{4\pi|t-s|}ds = 1$, $t \in \partial D_m$, see [8, 15].

from which we can estimate the radius $\rho_j$. Other geometries, as cylinders, for which one can estimate exactly the size, from the capacitance, are shown in chapter 4 of [16].

For general geometries, we proceed as follows. First, we recall the following result, see [7].

**Lemma 3.4.** *For every $1 \leq j \leq M$, the capacitance $C_j^a$ is of the form*

$$C_j^a = C_{B_j}^a \epsilon \tag{3.23}$$

*where $C_{B_j}^a$ is the acoustic capacitance of $B_j$.*

Now let us consider a single obstacle $D := \epsilon\, B + z$. Since $C_B^a := \int_{\partial B} \sigma(s) ds$ and $\int_{\partial B} \frac{\sigma(s)}{4\pi|t-s|} ds = 1$, from the invertibility of the single layer potential $S : L^2(\partial B) \to H^1(\partial B)$, defined as $Sf(t) = \int_{\partial B} \frac{f(s)}{4\pi|t-s|} ds = 1$, we deduce that

$$C_B^a \leq |\partial B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sigma\|_{L^2(\partial B)} \leq |\partial B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|S^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1(\partial B), L^2(\partial B))} |\partial B|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|S^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1(\partial B), L^2(\partial B))} |\partial B|. \tag{3.24}$$

On the other hand, we recall the following lower estimate, see Theorem 3.1 in [16] for instance,

$$C_B^a \geq \frac{4\pi |\partial B|^2}{J} \tag{3.25}$$

where $J := \int_{\partial B} \int_{\partial B} \frac{1}{|s-t|} ds dt$. Remark that $J = 4\pi \int_{\partial B} S(1)(s) ds$. Hence

$$J \leq 4\pi |\partial B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|S\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial B), H^1(\partial B))} \|1\|_{H^1(\partial B)} \leq 4\pi \|S\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial B), H^1(\partial B))} |\partial B|$$

and using (3.25) we obtain the lower bound

$$C_B^a \geq \|S\|^{-1}_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial B), H^1(\partial B))} |\partial B|. \tag{3.26}$$

Finally combining (3.24) and (3.26), we derive the estimate

$$\|S\|^{-1}_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial B), H^1(\partial B))} |\partial B| \leq C_B^a \leq \|S^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1(\partial B), L^2(\partial B))} |\partial B| \tag{3.27}$$

Using Lemma 3.4 and the relation $|\partial D_\epsilon| = \epsilon^2 |\partial B|$ we obtain the following size estimation:

$$\|S^{-1}\|^{-1}_{\mathcal{L}(H^1(\partial B), L^2(\partial B))} \epsilon C_\epsilon^a \leq |\partial D_\epsilon| \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial B), H^1(\partial B))} \epsilon C_\epsilon^a. \tag{3.28}$$

Now, using Lemma 3.3, we derive the following lower and upper bounds of the sizes of the obstacles $D_m$

$$\|S^{-1}\|^{-1}_{\mathcal{L}(H^1(\partial B_m), L^2(\partial B_m))} (\lambda + 2\mu)^{-1} \lambda_{eig_m}^{max} \leq \frac{|\partial D_m|}{\epsilon} \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial B_m), H^1(\partial B_m))} \mu^{-1} \lambda_{eig_m}^{min}. \tag{3.29}$$

Observe that one can estimate the norms of the operators appearing in (3.29) in terms of (only) the Lipschitz character. We summarize this result in the following theorem

**Theorem 3.5.** *There exist two constants $c(Lip)$ and $C(Lip)$ depending only on the Lipschitz character of $B_1, ..., B_M$, such that*

$$c(Lip)(\lambda + 2\mu)^{-1} \lambda_{eig_m}^{max} \leq \frac{|\partial D_m|}{\epsilon} \leq C(Lip) \mu^{-1} \lambda_{eig_m}^{min}. \tag{3.30}$$

Precisely $C(Lip)$ and $c(Lip)$ are characterized respectively by $\|S\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial B_m), H^1(\partial B_m))} \leq C(Lip)$ and $\|S^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1(\partial B_m), L^2(\partial B_m))} \leq c^{-1}(Lip)$. We can use the estimate (3.29) to provide the lower and the upper estimates of the scaled 'size' of scatterers $\frac{|\partial D_m|}{\epsilon}$. Under some conditions of the reference obstacles, we can derive more explicit size estimates. Let us first define

$$\delta(x) := \min_{y \in \partial B} |x - y|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad \text{and } R_i(B) := \sup_{x \in B} \delta(x). \tag{3.31}$$

The quantity $R_i(B)$ is the radius of the largest ball contained in $B$. Now, we set

$$R_e(B) := \frac{1}{2} \max_{x,y \in \bar{B}} |x - y|. \tag{3.32}$$

The quantity $R_e(B)$ is the radius of the smallest ball containing $B$.

By the Gauss theorem, we see that

$$|B| = \frac{1}{3} \int_B div(x)\, dx = \frac{1}{3} \int_{\partial B} s \cdot n(s) ds \tag{3.33}$$

hence $|B| \leq \frac{1}{3}|\partial B| \max_{s \in \partial B} |s| \leq \frac{2}{3}|\partial B| R_e(B)$ since $\max_{s \in \partial B} |s| \leq 2R_e(B)$. Hence

$$|\partial B| \geq \frac{3}{2} \frac{|B|}{R_e(B)} \tag{3.34}$$

To derive the upper bound for $|\partial B|$, we use the following argument borrowed from ( [12], section 4). If we assume that $B$ is convex, then $\delta(\cdot)$ is a concave function in $B$ and then, see [6],

$$\nabla \delta(x) \cdot (y - x) \geq \delta(y) - \delta(x), \quad x, y \in \bar{B}. \tag{3.35}$$

But $\nabla \delta(x) = -\nu(x)$ for $x \in \partial B$, where $\nu$ is the external unit normal to $\partial B$. Let us now assume, in addition to the convexity property, that $B(0, R_i(B)) \subset B$ which roughly means that the origin is the 'center' of $B$. With this assumption, taking $y = 0$ in (3.35), we obtain $s \cdot \nu(s) \geq \delta(0) \geq R_i(B)$, (since $B(0, R_i(B)) \subset B$). Replacing in (3.33), we obtain $|B| \geq \frac{1}{3}|\partial B| R_i(B)$ hence

$$|\partial B| \leq 3 \frac{|B|}{R_i(B)}. \tag{3.36}$$

Replacing (3.34) and (3.36) in (3.27), we have

$$\frac{3}{2} \|S\|^{-1}_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial B), H^1(\partial B))} \frac{|B|}{R_e(B)} \leq C_B^a \leq 3 \|S^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1(\partial B), L^2(\partial B))} \frac{|B|}{R_i(B)}.$$

Using the double inequality $\frac{4}{3}\pi R_i^3(B) \leq |B| \leq \frac{4}{3}\pi R_e^3(B)$ we obtain

$$2\pi \|S\|^{-1}_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial B), H^1(\partial B))} \frac{R_i^2(B)}{R_e(B)} R_i(B) \leq C_B^a \leq 4\pi \|S^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1(\partial B), L^2(\partial B))} \frac{R_e^2(B)}{R_i(B)} R_e(B). \tag{3.37}$$

Now, we apply these double estimates to $D$ instead of $B$, knowing that the two assumptions on $B$ are inherited by $D$, then we obtain

$$2\pi \|S\|^{-1}_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial D), H^1(\partial D))} \frac{R_i^2(D)}{R_e(D)} R_i(D) \leq C_D^a \leq 4\pi \|S^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1(\partial D), L^2(\partial D))} \frac{R_e^2(D)}{R_i(D)} R_e(D). \tag{3.38}$$

Observe that $\frac{R_i^2(D)}{R_e(D)}$ scales as $\|S\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial D), H^1(\partial D))}$ and $\frac{R_e^2(D)}{R_i(D)}$ scales as $\|S^{-1}\|^{-1}_{\mathcal{L}(H^1(\partial B), L^2(\partial B))}$ since obviously $R_i(D) = \epsilon R_i(B)$, $R_e(D) = \epsilon R_e(B)$ and we have $\|S\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial D), H^1(\partial D))} \leq \epsilon \|S\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial B), H^1(\partial B))}$ and $\|S^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1(\partial D), L^2(\partial D))} \leq \epsilon^{-1} \|S^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1(\partial B), L^2(\partial B))}$, see [8, Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5] for the last two inequalities. Using these properties, we deduce that

$$2\pi \|S\|^{-1}_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial B), H^1(\partial B))} \frac{R_i^2(B)}{R_e(B)} R_i(D) \leq C_D^a \leq 4\pi \|S^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1(\partial B), L^2(\partial B))} \frac{R_e^2(B)}{R_i(B)} R_e(D). \tag{3.39}$$

We can estimate $2\pi \|S\|^{-1}_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\partial B), H^1(\partial B))} \frac{R_i^2(B)}{R_e(B)}$ from below by a constant $c(Lip)$ depending only the Lipschitz character of $B$ and $4\pi \|S^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1(\partial B), L^2(\partial B))} \frac{R_e^2(B)}{R_i(B)}$ by a constant $C(Lip)$ also depending only on the Lipschitz character of $B$. With these apriori bounds (3.39) becomes

$$c(Lip) R_i(D) \leq C_D^a \leq C(Lip) R_e(D). \tag{3.40}$$

Using Lemma 3.3, we deduce the following result.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Assume that the reference obstacles $B_m, m = 1, ..., M$, are convex and satisfy the properties $B(0, R_i(B_m)) \subset B_m$. Then, there exist two constants $c(Lip)$ and $C(Lip)$ depending only on the Lipschitz character of the obstacles $B_m, m = 1, ..., M$, such that we have the estimates*

$$R_i(D_m) \leq c^{-1}(Lip)(\lambda + 2\mu)^{-1}\lambda_{eig_m}^{max}, \tag{3.41}$$

$$R_e(D_m) \geq C^{-1}(Lip)\mu^{-1}\lambda_{eig_m}^{min}. \tag{3.42}$$

The estimate (3.41) means that the largest ball contained in $D_m$ has a radius not exceeding $c^{-1}(Lip)(\lambda + 2\mu)^{-1}\lambda_{eig_m}^{max}$. Hence (3.41) measures the thickness of $D_m$. The estimate (3.42) means that the radius of the smallest ball containing $D_m$ is not lower than $C^{-1}(Lip)\mu^{-1}\lambda_{eig_m}^{min}$. Hence (3.42) measures the length of the obstacle $D_m$.

**Conclusion**

Based on the asymptotic expansion of the elastic waves by small rigid obstacles, derived in [7], we have shown that any of the two elastic waves, i.e. P-waves or S-waves (precisely SH-waves or SV-waves in 3D elasticity), is enough to localize the obstacles and estimate their respective sizes. Compared to the existing literature, see for instance [5], we allow the obstacles to be close and the cluster to be spread in any given region. In addition, the derived precise size estimates seem to be new compared to the related literature. We stated the MUSIC algorithm based on the mentioned measurements and we believe that performing this algorithm will provide us with accurate numerical tests, see our previous work [9] on point-like obstacles. Let us also mention that, using our techniques, we can write down a topological derivative based imaging approach from only the shear or compressional part of the elastic wave, compare to [2]. We think that these two points deserve to be studied.

# References


[1] B. Ahmad, D. P. Challa, M. Kirane, M. Sini, *The equivalent refraction index for the acoustic scattering by many small obstacles: with error estimates, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications (2014), 10.1016/j.jmaa.2014.11.020.*

[2] H. Ammari, E. Bretin, J. Garnier, W. Jing, H. Kang, and A. Wahab, *Localization, stability, and resolution of topological derivative based imaging functionals in elasticity. SIAM J. Imaging Sci. 6 (2013), no. 4, 21742212.*

[3] H. Ammari, E. Bretin, J. Garnier, H. Kang, H. Lee, and A. Wahab *Mathematical Methods in Elasticity Imaging*, *Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics*. To appear.

[4] H. Ammari, P. Calmon, and E. Iakovleva. Direct elastic imaging of a small inclusion. *SIAM J. Imaging Sci.*, 1(2):169–187, 2008.

[5] H. Ammari and H. Kang. *Polarization and moment tensors*, volume 162 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, New York, 2007.

[6] S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe. Convex optimization. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[7] D. P. Challa and M. Sini. The Foldy-Lax approximation of the scattered waves by many small bodies for the Lamé system. *Preprint,* `arXiv: 1308. 3072`.

[8] D. P. Challa and M. Sini. On the justification of the Foldy-Lax approximation for the acoustic scattering by small rigid bodies of arbitrary shapes. Multiscale Model. Simul. 12 (2014), no. 1, 5508.

[9] D. P. Challa and M. Sini. Inverse scattering by point-like scatterers in the Foldy regime. *Inverse Problems*, 28(12):125006, 39, 2012.

[10] G. Dassios and R. Kleinman. *Low frequency scattering*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 2000. Oxford Science Publications.

[11] A. Kirsch and N. Grinberg. *The factorization method for inverse problems*, volume 36 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.

[12] H. Kovarik, On the lowest eigenvalue of Laplace operators with mixed boundary conditions. J. Geom. Anal. vol. 24 (2014), 1509-1525.

[13] V. D. Kupradze, T. G. Gegelia, M. O. Basheleĭshvili, and T. V. Burchuladze. *Three-dimensional problems of the mathematical theory of elasticity and thermoelasticity*, volume 25 of *North-Holland Series in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1979.

[14] V. Maz'ya and A. Movchan. Asymptotic treatment of perforated domains without homogenization. *Math. Nachr.*, 283(1):104–125, 2010.

[15] V. Maz'ya, A. Movchan and M. Nieves. Green's kernels and meso-scale approximations in perforated domains. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2077. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.

[16] A. G. Ramm. *Wave scattering by small bodies of arbitrary shapes*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2005.